

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. <b>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</b>					
1. REPORT DATE		2. REPORT TYPE Viewgraphs		3. DATES COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE  Economic Considerations in Managing DoD Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Simulation Assets				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)  Danny Weddle, Ph.D.				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division 22347 Cedar Point Road, Unit #6 Patuxent River, Maryland 20670-1161				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			Danny Weddle
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	SAR	28	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) (301) 757-3742

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)  
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

20001012 111

# Economic Considerations in Managing DoD Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Simulation Assets

Danny Weddle, Ph. D.


Naval Air Systems Command

Patuxent River, MD

# Topics

- DoD Funding of Research Development Test and Evaluation Simulation Facilities
  - Defense Business Operating Fund
  - Major Range Test Facilities Base
  - High Performance Computing Modernization Program
- Economic Benefits of Simulation
- Why traditional Market Models Don't Apply

# DoD Labs: Standard Supply Demand Curves Don't Apply



# Methods of Funding Laboratories


- Defense Base Operating Fund
- Major Range Test Facilities Base

## ***WHAT IS DBOF?***

- *Defense Business Operations Funds, aka revolving funds, are accounts established to finance a cycle of operations to which reimbursements and collections are returned for reuse in such a manner as to maintain the principal of the fund*

# Defense Business Operations Funds

## Cycle of Operations



# DBOF

## Customers Pay for

- Non- labor direct program costs
- Direct labor through stabilized rate
- Share of Production Cost
- Share of G&A cost
- M&O of Equipment
- Equipment replenishment



# MRTFB Equipment Investment

- Funded from MRTFB Institutional or Office of Secretary of Defense Central T&E Investment fund
- Users charged for portion of O&M through charging rates

# DBOF Capital Purchase Investment

- Equipment > 100k
- Investment fund replenished from user charges
- Rates include O&M and Depreciation

# MRTFB


- 19 Designated Test and Evaluation Activities
- DoD Customers pay for
  - Non Labor Direct Program Costs
  - Direct labor
- For DoD customers, MRTFB Institutional Fund Pays for
  - Production cost
  - G&A Cost
  - Equipment Investment
- Rationale: DoD T&E customers can't afford to pay total cost

# HPC Program Initiatives


- HPC Centers
  - Major Shared Resource Centers
  - Distributed Centers
- Networking
  - Defense Research and Engineering Network
- Software
  - Common HPC Software Support Initiative
- Priority Applications
  - Challenge Projects

# HPC Contributions to Aircraft Design & Analysis

Unsteady Aerodynamic Analysis





Stores Certification



Nose-slice Departure

# LARGE-EDDY SIMULATION APPLICATION TO THE SEAWOLF REVERSE FLOW PROBLEM



## TORPEDO LAUNCHWAY

### **PROBLEM DEFINITION**


- Excessive Adverse Pressure across Weapon
- Excessive Pressure in Torpedo Tube Recess
- Reverse Flow through the Launchway

### **PROBLEM CAUSE**

- Under-predicted Pressure Recovery of HRIS Design
- High Pressure Recovered within Shutterway/Guide-can

### **PROBLEM SOLUTION**

- Insert Circumferential Chokes to Reduce Pressure via Increased Turbulent Activity
- Perform LES Computation for Accurate Choke Design Using MSRC High Performance Computing Facilities



## LES APPLICATION

# HPC FUNDING


- All funding for Major Shared Resource Centers provided by program
  - Investment
  - M&O
  - User Support
- No use charge for approved DoD users
- Defense Research and Engineering Network funded by program: point of presence at all major RDT&E sites
- Distributed Centers
  - Investment funded through program
  - With a few exceptions, Centers must pay M&O
  - Key for Test and Evaluation for Real Time Applications

## 1995 DoD High Performance Computing Modernization Program Fee-For-Service Report

- Army, Navy & Air Force previously tried various forms of fee-for-service for HPC: It was a failure
- Seven non-DoD government centers: none used for advanced systems
- Five Industry Centers: Only one used
- Attempts to recover cost from researchers results in death spiral; stifles innovation



# Supply/Demand for RDT&E Facilities



RDT&E Simulation Labs

## Why Market Economics Don't Apply

- Unique, very technically complex systems with relatively few customers
- Typical DoD acquisition has considerably shorter time horizon than it takes to develop a major new capability
- DoD Budgeting Process

# Economic benefits of simulation

- Getting it right before the system is built
- Eliminating major failures during system development
- Reduced life cycle cost

# Simulation Based Acquisition

- “An iterative, integrated product and process approach to acquisition, using modeling and simulation, that enables the warfighting, resource allocation, and acquisition communities to fulfill the warfighter’s materiel needs, while maintaining Cost As an Independent Variable (CAIV) over the system’s entire lifecycle and within the DODs system of systems.”

*Defense Systems Management College*

*Simulation Based Acquisition: A New*

*Approach*

*Report of the 1997-1998 DSMC Military Research Fellows*

*Lt. Col. Michael VR. Johnson, Sr., USA*

*Lt. Col. Mark F. McKeon, USMC*

*Lt. Col. Terence R. Sxanto, USAF*


# Simulation Based Acquisition (SBA)

- “An integrator of simulation tools and technology across acquisition functions and program phases and across programs. It is a concept in which M&S as a resource is more efficiently managed in the acquisition process. In a defense environment of decreased funding, SBA addresses both the decreasing availability of resources for system development and the increasing power of M&S tools.<sup>46</sup>”

Simulation Based Acquisition Road Map

*December 1998*

# The Rationale






Gain Early Understanding in Order to:


- Identify problems early
- Smooth transition between phases
- Achieve long-term savings
- Reduce cycle time

**“M&S early in a program can be compared to a Warfighter’s preparation for the deep battle.”**

# The Case for Early Involvement: Cost to Fix Problems



Requirements	Design	Code	Test
\$1	\$10	\$100	\$1000



AIR FORCE OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER


# Total System Assessment

## Integrated M&S from

## Physics to Campaign

Models and Analysis Hierarchy

Acquisition Process Issues






# Simulation Based Acquisition

- Per “Webster”:
- “Something being acquired, having as its basis the use of a computer to calculate”


# Myths



- Operational testers won't use M&S
- M&S is cheap
- Testing and M&S are opposite ends of a balance scale

**TRUTH IS: M&S and Testing are intertwined;  
when they are not, neither is effective**

# The Rationale



Gain Early Understanding in Order to:

- Identify problems early
- Smooth transition between phases
- Achieve long-term savings
- Reduce cycle time

**“M&S early in a program can be compared to a Warfighter’s preparation for the deep battle.”**

# Cradle To Grave Application



- Combat development
- Engineering and manufacturing development
- Test and Evaluation
- Training
- Sustainment

Modeling  
&  
Simulation

# Summary

- Simulation has very high economic benefit to DoD RDT&E
- Standard market models don't apply
- Funding mechanisms accommodate benefits and non commodity nature of facilities